FILED

JAN 0 8 2010

JUDGE JESSICA R. MAYER

EMELIE HENRY,

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

Plaintiff, :

DOCKET NO. MID-L-684-07 MT

٧.

CIVIL ACTION

ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS
LP; ASTRAZENECA LP; ASTRA USA,
INC.; KBI SUB, INC.; ZENECA, INC.;
ASTRA USA HOLDINGS
CORPORATION; ASTRAZENECA, AB;
ASTRAZENECA, PLC; ASTRAZENECA,
UK LIMITED; JOHN DOE(S) 1 through
20; and JANE DOE(S) 1 through 20,

In Re Risperdal/Seroquel/Zyprexa Litigation Case No. 274

Defendants.:

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S
 COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR
 FAILURE TO PROSECUTE PURSUANT
 TO R. 1-13:7 AND CASE MANAGEMENT
 ORDERS NO. 22 AND 24

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by McCarter & English, LLP, attorneys for Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, AstraZeneca LP, Zeneca Inc., KBI Sub Inc., and Astra USA, Inc. (collectively "AstraZeneca") to dismiss plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to R. 1:13-7 and Case Management Orders No. 22 and 24, such dismissal without prejudice being authorized by CMO 22, the Court having considered the papers submitted, and for good cause shown:

IT IS on this 8th day of January, 2010;

ORDERED that AstraZeneca's motion is hereby GRANTED and that plaintiff's AstraZeneca
Complaint is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as to all parties—served and unserved—and it is further;

ORDERED that a signed copy of this Order be served on all counsel within seven (7) days of the date hereof; and it is further;

ORDERED that, upon being served with the within order of dismissal without prejudice, plaintiff's counsel shall forthwith serve a copy of this order on plaintiff by regular and certified mail, return receipt requested, accompanied by a notice in the form prescribed by Appendix II-A of the New Jersey Rules of Court, specifically explaining the consequences of failure to file and serve a timely motion to restore.

UNOPPOSED

Jessica R. Mayer, J.S.C

___**X**___ Unopposed
Opposed

Ciparrias are to be served within 7) days of the data housen

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to R. 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."

ORDERED that counsel for the delinquent party shall serve upon his or her client in accordance with R. 4:23-5(a)(1) a copy of this Order and the notice set forth in Appendix II-A of the Court Rules